

Peer Review Report

Manuscript Title: *Quantum-Chemical Foundations of Cellular Evolution*

Date of Review: December 15, 2025

Reviewer Verdict: Accept with Minor Revisions

I. General Assessment

This manuscript is a deeply interdisciplinary and impressively ambitious synthesis, drawing upon prebiotic chemistry, comparative genomics, quantum biology, chirality theory, and population genetics to propose a unified quantum-chemical framework for the evolution of cellular complexity. The work is exceptionally rich in theoretical formulations and offers quantitative treatments rarely attempted in such broad thematic spans. Its breadth is its great strength, but also the source of certain weaknesses, namely in narrative coherence and integration of highly technical content for a general academic audience.

The manuscript is suited for an advanced but not elite periodical. It shows hallmarks of originality, quantitative rigour, and comprehensive scope, and would benefit the scientific community interested in the origins of life, quantum biology, and systems evolution.

II. Structure and Scope

Strengths:

- The manuscript is well structured and logically organised, moving from foundational models through empirical results to synthesis and speculation.
- The inclusion of a detailed table of contents (page 3) and comprehensive reference section (pages 41–47) enhances navigability and scholarly credibility.
- Each section includes mathematical formalisms, equations, and mechanistic models —offering much-needed rigour to what is often a qualitative field.

Weaknesses:

- While the compartmentalisation into Introduction, Methodology, Results, Discussion, and Conclusion is appropriate, the density of material in the Results section (pp. 17–34) may overwhelm readers. It could benefit from more explicit subheadings or signposting.
- The transitions between sections are not always fluid. For instance, the leap from detailed quantum mechanical equations (e.g. Schrödinger/Lindblad formalisms on p. 16) to the empirical section (p. 17) lacks narrative cushioning.

III. Scientific and Conceptual Merit

Innovations:

- The article’s strongest claim lies in demonstrating the **functional relevance and evolutionary selection of quantum effects**—coherence in photosynthesis (p. 31), tunnelling in enzymatic catalysis (p. 32), and magnetoreception (p. 33). These are not merely incidental quantum effects, but potentially adaptively maintained.
- The **integration of chirality mechanisms**, notably chiral-induced spin selectivity (p. 21), with homochirality emergence offers an original and compelling framework grounded in surface physics and thermodynamics.
- The **drift-barrier hypothesis** and **flux balance analysis** (pp. 8–15) are lucidly explained with full equations and modelling logic, making them accessible and reproducible.

Concerns:

- Some mathematical formulations are introduced without accompanying physical interpretation or connection to the central evolutionary thesis. For instance, Equations (37–43) regarding density functional theory and tunnelling could benefit from graphical illustrations or simplified analogies.
- The **discussion of LUCA’s complexity paradox** (pp. 36–37) offers three plausible resolutions, but it would be stronger with an explicit statement reconciling these as potentially complementary rather than competing views.

IV. Figures and Illustrations

Excellent use of figures:

- Figure 1 (p. 18):** Phylogenetic tree placing eukaryotes as sister clade to Asgard archaea is clearly labelled and methodologically supported (GTR+Γ+I, 30,000 AA).
- Figure 3 (p. 21):** The Frank model dynamics with autocatalytic chirality amplification are well plotted, with a clear bifurcation illustrated.
- Figure 8 (p. 31):** Coherence in the FMO complex is elegantly demonstrated through simulated population dynamics and density matrix plots.

Minor improvements:

- Consider adding a figure summarising the *interplay between quantum phenomena, chirality, and thermodynamics* as a unifying schematic (perhaps at the conclusion).
- Axis labels and units are sometimes omitted or small (e.g., Figure 5 heatmap, p. 25), which may hinder reproduction.

V. Language and Style

Strengths:

- The manuscript employs precise academic language, with consistent use of technical terminology, references in APA style, and well-constructed arguments.
- Definitions of variables in equations are mostly thorough and readable.

Revisions Suggested:

- Some sentences are overly long and could be pruned for clarity. For example, the sentence on p. 6 beginning "Quantum biology encompasses..." spans multiple clauses that could be broken into two.
- While verbosity may be suitable for a scholarly review, the **introduction** would benefit from a more accessible synopsis of what quantum biology entails in the context of cellular evolution.

VI. Novelty and Suitability

This work stands out for:

- Proposing a **quantum-chemical evolutionary framework** with detailed methodological and mechanistic exposition.
- Offering an integrated resolution to the origin-of-life triad (RNA world, metabolism-first, lipid world) using experimental, mathematical, and philosophical perspectives.
- Emphasising **quantum effects not as fringe curiosities**, but as evolutionarily selected phenomena that span from enzymatic catalysis to magnetosensation.

Given its novelty and depth, this manuscript will appeal to specialists in systems biology, quantum biophysics, evolutionary biology, and astrobiology.

VII. Verdict and Recommendations

Final Verdict:

✔ Accept with Minor Revisions

Required Revisions:

- Add a schematic figure** showing the integration of chirality, quantum biology, and stoichiometry as a unifying visual summary.
- Refine transitions** between methodological and empirical sections (particularly around p. 16–17).
- Clarify Equation-heavy passages** (e.g., DFT, WKB) with plain-language explanation and context.
- Shorten and simplify** a few of the most complex sentence constructions, especially in the introduction and discussion.

Optional Enhancements:

- Provide more discussion of competing interpretations regarding the adaptive versus incidental role of quantum effects.
- Include a “Future Outlook” paragraph at the end of the Conclusion forecasting specific research directions or experimental validations.

Reviewer Notes:

This manuscript is an excellent contribution to the field, well beyond average expectations for an interdisciplinary review. It displays an admirable combination of mathematical rigor, conceptual integration, and evolutionary insight. The minor revisions suggested will enhance accessibility and interpretability without compromising the article’s intellectual richness.