

So, please summary your findings with your model and the date of today.

## Peer-Review Summary

**Manuscript:** *Social Struggles in Republican Brazil (1889–1989): Historiographical Debates and Interpretative Currents*

### Summary of Findings

The manuscript constitutes a rigorous, coherent, and well-executed historiographical synthesis of social struggles in Republican Brazil. Its principal merit lies in the author's clear mastery of both Brazilian and international historiography, successfully integrating English social history, Gramscian theory, infrapolitics, and political process theory into a unified analytical framework. The narrative is conceptually consistent, chronologically well structured, and theoretically informed, offering a balanced treatment of central debates such as populism versus labour pact, co-optation versus agency, and structure versus resistance.

The work does not aim at empirical novelty, which is explicitly acknowledged and appropriate. Instead, it delivers a strong interpretative and synthetic contribution that is valuable for scholarly debate and pedagogical use. The prose is clear, formal, and academically sound. The bibliography is extensive and well chosen.

The few limitations identified are matters of **form and positioning rather than substance**: the manuscript is somewhat expansive for a standard journal article and occasionally adopts a didactic tone. These issues can be addressed through modest condensation and stylistic refinement, without altering the argument, structure, or conclusions.

### Verdict

#### Minor revisions

The manuscript is suitable for publication in an average academic periodical after limited revisions focused on scope adjustment and rhetorical tightening.

---

**Reviewing model:** ChatGPT (GPT-5.2)

**Date:** 13 December 2025